1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10 11 12	TERRANCE JOE QUINLAN,  Plaintiff,  v.	CASE NO. 2:21-cv-00991-TSZ-JRC ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
13	JOHN CONATY,	RECONSIDERATION
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	This matter is before the Court on referral from the district court and on plaintiff's motion	
17	for reconsideration. See Dkt. 42.	
18	Motions for reconsideration are disfavored and will be granted only upon a "showing of	
19	manifest error in the prior ruling" or "new facts or legal authority which could not have been	
20	brought to [the Court's] attention earlier with reasonable diligence." Local Civil Rule ("LCR")	
21	7(h)(1). A motion for reconsideration must be filed "within fourteen days after the order to which	
22	it relates[.]" LCR 7(h)(2).	
23		
24		

Plaintiff moves the Court to reconsider its report and recommendation from March 1, 2022, which recommended that the District Court dismiss plaintiff's claims under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. See id. at 1. Well over fourteen days have passed since the Court issued its report and recommendation and the District Court already adopted the Court's report and recommendation. See Dkts. 27, 28. Therefore, plaintiff's motion for reconsideration is untimely and could be denied on this basis alone. See United States v. Washington, 20 F. Supp. 3d 986, 1055 n.1 (W.D. Wash. 2013). However, even if plaintiff had timely filed his motion, he fails to show either manifest error or new facts or legal authority entitling him to relief. Accordingly, plaintiff's motion for reconsideration is denied. Dated this 24th day of June, 2022. J. Richard Creatura Chief United States Magistrate Judge